

Unique Factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

As a further application of the ideas presented in this chapter, we next prove that $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ has an important factorization property. We will study this property in greater depth. The first proof of the theorem on unique factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ was given by Gauss. In reading this theorem and its proof, keep in mind that the units in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ are precisely $f(x)=1$ and $f(x)=-1$, the irreducible polynomials of degree 0 over \mathbb{Z} are precisely those of the form $f(x)=p$ and $f(x)=-p$ where p is a prime and every non-constant polynomial from $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ that is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} is primitive.

					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
5	M	T	W	T	F	S

SEPTEMBER '17

Theorem: Every polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ that is not the zero polynomial or a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ can be written in the form

$$b_1 b_2 \cdots b_s p_1(x) p_2(x) \cdots p_m(x), \text{ where}$$

the b_i 's are irreducible polynomials of degree 0 and the $p_i(x)$'s are irreducible polynomials of positive degree. Furthermore, if

$$b_1 b_2 \cdots b_s p_1(x) p_2(x) \cdots p_m(x) = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_t q_1(x) q_2(x) \cdots q_n(x),$$

where the b_i 's and c_i 's are irreducible polynomials of degree 0 and the $p_i(x)$'s and $q_i(x)$'s are irreducible polynomials of positive degree,

then $s=t$, $m=n$ and, after renumbering the c_i 's and $q_i(x)$'s, we have

$$b_i = \pm c_i \text{ for } i=1, \dots, s$$

$$\text{and } p_i(x) = \pm q_i(x) \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m$$

					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
S	M	T	W	T	F	S

2017

Proof: Let $f(x)$ be a non-zero, non-unit polynomial from $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. If $\deg f(x) = 0$, then $f(x)$ is constant and the result follows from the Fundamental theorem of Arithmetic. If $\deg f(x) > 0$, let b denote the content of $f(x)$, and let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s be the factorization of b as a product of primes. Then

$$f(x) = b_1 b_2 \dots b_s f_1(x), \text{ where } f_1(x) \text{ belongs to } \mathbb{Z}[x], \text{ is primitive and } \deg f_1(x) = \deg f(x).$$

Thus to prove the existence portion of the theorem, it suffices to show that a primitive polynomial $f(x)$ of positive degree.

We proceed by induction on $\deg f(x)$. If

$\deg f(x) = 1$, then $f(x)$ is already irreducible and we are done

30	31	1
2	3	4
5	6	7
8	9	10
11	12	13
14	15	16
17	18	19
20	21	22
23	24	25
26	27	28
29		
M	T	W
T	F	S
S		

Now suppose that every primitive polynomial of degree less than $\deg f(x)$ can be written as a product of irreducibles of positive degree.

If $f(x)$ is irreducible, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$, where both $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ can be written as a product of irreducibles of positive degree.

Clearly, then $f(x)$ is also such a product.

To prove the uniqueness portion of the theorem

Suppose that $f(x) = b_1 b_2 \dots b_s P_1(x) P_2(x) \dots P_m(x) = c_1 c_2 \dots c_r Q_1(x) Q_2(x) \dots Q_n(x)$

where the b_i 's and c_i 's are irreducible

17 SUNDAY

polynomials of degree 0 and the $P_i(x)$'s and $Q_i(x)$'s are irreducible polynomials of positive degree.

					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
5	M	T	W	T	F	S

SEPTEMBER '17

Let $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_s$ and $c = c_1 c_2 \dots c_t$.

Since the $p(x)$'s and $q(x)$'s are primitive, it follows from Gauss's Lemma that

$p_1(x) p_2(x) \dots p_m(x)$ and $q_1(x) q_2(x) \dots q_n(x)$ are

primitive. Hence both b and c must equal plus or minus the content of $f(x)$ and, therefore, are equal in absolute value. It then follows

from the fundamental theorem of

Arithmetic that $s = t$ and, after renumbering

$b_i = \pm c_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Thus by

canceling the constant terms in the two factorizations for $f(x)$, we have

$$p_1(x) p_2(x) \dots p_m(x) = \pm q_1(x) q_2(x) \dots q_n(x).$$

Now viewing the $p(x)$'s and $q(x)$'s as elements of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and

30	31					
2	3	4	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
M	T	W	T	F	S	

noting that $P_1(x)$ divides $q_1(x) \dots q_n(x)$

it follows that $P_1(x) \mid q_i(x)$ for some i .

by remembering we say as ~~some~~

assume $i=1$. Then, since $q_1(x)$ is irreducible,

we have $q_1(x) = (r/s)P_1(x)$ where $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

However, because both $q_1(x)$ and $P_1(x)$ are

primitive, we must have $r/s = \pm 1$,

so, $q_1(x) = \pm P_1(x)$. Also after ~~canceling~~

canceling, we have $P_2(x)P_3(x) \dots P_m(x) = \pm q_2(x) \dots q_n(x)$

Now we may repeat the argument

above with $P_2(x)$ in place of $P_1(x)$. If

$m < n$, after m such steps we would have

1 on the left and a non-constant poly

on the right and a non-constant

polynomial on the left - another

3	4	5	6	7
10	11	12	13	14
17	18	19	20	21
24	25	26	27	28
S	M	T	W	

impossibility, so, $m = n$ and $p_i(x) = \pm q_i(x)$

after suitable renumbering of the $q_i(x)$'s.

30	31							1
2	3	4	5	6	7	8		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15		
16	17	18	19	20	21	22		
23	24	25	26	27	28	29		
M	T	W	T	F	S	S		